

# CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

## SIP COMPLETENESS CHECKLIST

\*\*\* TO BE COMPLETED BY DISTRICT AND RETURNED TO ARB \*\*\*

All rules submitted to the EPA as State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions must be supported by certain information and documentation for the rule packages to be deemed complete for review by the EPA. Rules will not be evaluated for approvability by the EPA unless the submittal packages are complete. To assist you in determining that all necessary materials are included in rule packages sent to the ARB for submittal to the EPA, please fill out the following form and include it with the rule package you send us. See the ARB's Guidelines on the Implementation of the EPA's Draft SIP Completeness Policy, October 1989, for a more detailed explanation than is provided here.

DISTRICT SAN JOAQUIN UNIFIED RULE NO. 2021 DATE ADOPTED OR AMENDED 5/21/92  
APCD  
 RULE TITLE Experimental Research Operations

### ADMINISTRATIVE MATERIALS

Attached  
 Not  
 Attached\*  
 N/A

- |             |  |
|-------------|--|
| (✓) ( ) ( ) | <u>COMPLETE COPY OF THE RULE:</u> Provide an unmarked copy of the entire rule as adopted or amended by your District Board.  |
| ( ) ( ) (✓) | <u>UNDERLINE AND STRIKEOUT COPY OF THE RULE:</u> If an amended rule, provide a complete copy of the rule indicating in underline and strikeout format all language which has been added, deleted, or changed since the rule was last adopted or amended.   |
| (✓) ( ) ( ) | <u>COMPLETE COPY OF REFERENCED RULE(S):</u> For any rule which includes language specifically referencing another rule, a copy of that other rule must also be submitted, unless it has already been submitted to EPA as a part of a previous SIP submittal.   |
| (✓) ( ) ( ) | <u>PUBLIC NOTICE EVIDENCE:</u> Include a copy of the local newspaper clipping certification(s), stating the date of publication, which must be at least 30 days before the hearing. As an alternative, include a copy of the actual published notice of the public hearing as it appeared in the local newspaper(s). In this case, however, enough of the newspaper page must be included to show the date of publication. The notice must specifically identify by title and number each rule adopted or amended. |

\* Attach a separate sheet for each rule explaining why any materials are not included and when they will be submitted to the ARB.

- (X) ( ) ( ) RESOLUTION/MINUTE ORDER: Provide the Board Clerk certified resolution or minute order. This document must include certification that the hearing was held in accordance with the information in the public notice. It must also list the rules that were adopted or amended, the date of the public hearing, and a statement of compliance with California Health and Safety Code Sections 40725-40728 (Administrative Procedures Act).
- ( ) ( ) (X) PUBLIC COMMENTS AND RESPONSES: Submit copies of written public comments made during the notice period and at the public hearing. Also submit any written responses prepared by the District staff or presented to the District Board at the public hearing. A summary of the public comments and responses is adequate. If there were no comments made during the notice period or at the hearing, please indicate N/A to the left.

---

#### TECHNICAL MATERIALS

- (X) ( ) ( ) RULE EVALUATION FORM: See instructions for completing the Rule Evaluation Form and the accompanying sample form.
- ( ) ( ) (X) NON-EPA TEST METHOD: Include all test methods referenced in the rule, but not previously submitted to EPA. Provide an explanation of the purpose and principle for the test method and include the following supporting technical data: describe the test details (number of tests to be carried out, their precision, accuracy, and repeatability); on a technical basis, compare the method with the appropriate EPA/ASTM method; explain the technical differences of the two methods and how they affect monitoring of the parameters of interest; explain how the test method affects the implementation and enforcement of the applicable rule; explain the advantages and any potential shortcomings of the test method.
- ( ) ( ) (X) MODELING SUPPORT: Provide if appropriate; in general modeling support is not required for VOC and NOx rules to determine their impacts on ozone levels. Modeling is required where a rule is a relaxation that affects large sources ( $\geq 100$  TPY) in an attainment area for SO<sub>2</sub>, directly emitted PM<sub>10</sub>, CO, or NO<sub>x</sub> (for NO<sub>2</sub> purposes). In cases where EPA is concerned with the impact on air quality of rule revisions which relax limits or cause a shift in emissions patterns in a nonattainment area, a reference back to the approved SIP will be sufficient provided the approved SIP used the current EPA modeling guidelines. If current EPA modeling guidelines were not used, then new modeling may be required.
- ( ) ( ) (X) ECONOMIC AND TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION FOR DEVIATIONS FROM EPA POLICIES: As appropriate, describe special circumstances, i.e., where alternative RACT is used, extended compliance dates are included, etc. A completed SIP Approvability Checklist-Enforceability will fulfill this requirement.
- ( ) ( ) (X) ADDITIONAL MATERIALS: Provide any other supporting information concerning development of the rule or rule changes, such as staff reports.